	Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3	Filed 09/12/19 Page 1 of 9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977) David C. Leimbach (SBN 265409) Scott L. Gordon (SBN 319872) SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY WOTKYNS LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, California 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105 ccottrell@schneiderwallace.com dleimbach@schneiderwallace.com sgordon@schneiderwallace.com	
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Classes	
12	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRIC	
14		
15	DESIDERO SOTO, STEVEN STRICKLEN,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-00251-VC
16	STEEVE FONDROSE, LORENZO ORTEGA, and JOSE ANTONIO FARIAS, JR.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
17	on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
18		Date: October 17, 2019
19	Plaintiffs,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 4 (17th Floor)
20	VS.	
21		Judge: Honorable Vince Chhabria
	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST	
22	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT,	Complaint Filed: January 18, 2017
22 23	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC;	
22 23 24	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT,	
22 23 24 25	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC;	
22 23 24 25 26	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC;	
 22 23 24 25 26 27 	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC;	
22 23 24 25 26	O.C. COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC;	

	Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3 Filed 09/12/19 Page 2 of 9
1 2 3 4 5 6	Shanon J. Carson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen (<i>pro hac vice</i>) BERGER MONTAGUE PC 1818 Market Street, Suite 3600 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 Telephone: (215) 875-3000 Facsimile: (215) 875-4604 scarson@bm.net sschalman-bergen@bm.net
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Classes
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
_0	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Soto, et al. v. O.C. Communications, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-00251-VC

Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3 Filed 09/12/19 Page 3 of 9

Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class and Collective Action Settlement in the above captioned Action came on for hearing on October 17, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 4 of the above captioned court, the Honorable Vince Chhabria presiding. Defendants O.C. Communications, Inc.
 ("OCC"), Comcast Corporation, and Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC
 (collectively, "Comcast") did not oppose the motion.

6 Plaintiffs allege eighteen causes of action under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 7 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., the California Labor Code and Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et 8 seq., and Washington wage and consumer protection laws. Plaintiffs assert the first cause of action 9 under the FLSA on behalf of themselves and the Collective for Defendants' alleged failure to 10 compensate for all hours worked, including legally-mandated overtime premiums and/or minimum 11 wages. Plaintiffs assert seventeen other causes of action under California law and Washington law, 12 on behalf of themselves and the California Class and Washington Class, respectively, for failure to compensate for all hours worked; failure to pay overtime and minimum wages; failure to authorize, 13 14 permit, and/or make available meal and rest periods; failure to reimburse for necessary business 15 expenditures; waiting time penalties; failure to provide accurate, itemized wage statements; and 16 related violations. Plaintiffs also bring claims for penalties pursuant to § 2699(a) of the California Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") and penalties pursuant to § 2699(f) of the PAGA. 17

18 After conditional certification of the FLSA Collective, protracted discovery disputes, 19 production of over 1.5 million pages of documents, motions to compel arbitration by OCC and 20 Comcast, and a prior mediation, the Parties entered into private mediation before respected neutral 21 mediator Jeff Ross to try to resolve the claims. As a result of the mediation on October 18, 2018, and 22 subsequent settlement negotiations through the mediator, the Parties reached agreement on the terms 23 of a settlement. The Parties then entered in a Class Action Settlement Agreement, as amended by the Addendum to Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement," filed with the Court at ECF 289-24 25 2).

A hearing was held before this Court on June 13, 2019 for Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for
Preliminary Approval of Class and Collective Action Settlement. The Court granted the motion. *See*ECF 296. Before the Court is the last stage of the settlement approval process: final approval of the

Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3 Filed 09/12/19 Page 4 of 9

Settlement. Plaintiffs have separately moved for approval of attorneys' fees and costs and service
 awards for the Class Representatives. *See* ECF 297.

At the final approval hearing, Littler Mendelson, P.C. appeared for OCC, Morgan, Lewis &
Bockius LLP appeared for Comcast, and Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky Wotkyns LLP and
Berger Montague PC appeared for Plaintiffs and the Classes and Collective.

Having reviewed the papers and documents presented, having heard the statements of counsel,
and having considered the matter, the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

8 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Class and Collective Members
9 asserted in this proceeding and over all Parties to the action.

- 2. The Court finds that zero (0) California Class Members have objected to the
 Settlement and zero (0) California Class Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement. The
 Court finds that zero (0) Washington Class Members have objected to the Settlement and zero (0)
 Washington Class Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement. Additionally, 1,019
 Collective Members have filed timely and valid opt-in forms.
- 3. The Court hereby GRANTS FINAL APPROVAL of the terms and conditions
 contained in the Settlement as to the California and Washington Classes. The Court finds that the
 terms of the Settlement are within the range of approval, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of
 Civil Procedure and applicable law.

19 4. The Court finds that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable as to the 20 California and Washington Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further 21 litigation relating to class certification, potential individual arbitrations, liability and damages issues, 22 and potential appeals; (2) significant discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been 23 conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be 24 25 presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. 26 27 Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith with respect to the 28 California and Washington Classes.

Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3 Filed 09/12/19 Page 5 of 9

5. The Court hereby makes final its earlier conditional certification of the California Class and the Washington Class, in accordance with the Settlement, for purposes of this Settlement only. The California Class is defined as "all Technicians who are or were employed by OCC in the State of California at any time from January 18, 2013 through December 21, 2018, and who do not validly exclude themselves from the Settlement." The Washington Class is defined as "all Fechnicians who are or were employed by OCC in the State of Washington from March 13, 2015 through December 21, 2018, and who do not validly exclude themselves from the Settlement."

8 6. The Court hereby confirms its approval of the terms and conditions contained in the
9 Settlement as to the Collective as set forth in its June 17, 2019 order. See ECF 296. The Court has
10 already found that the terms of the Settlement represent a fair and reasonable resolution of a *bona*11 *fide* dispute, and are within the range of possible approval, pursuant to the FLSA and applicable law.

The Court has also already found that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable 12 7. as to the Collective Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation 13 relating to class certification, potential individual arbitrations, liability and damages issues, and 14 potential appeals; (2) significant discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been 15 16 conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be 17 18 presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been 19 reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. 20 Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith with respect to the FLSA Collective. 21

8. In accordance with the Court's previous orders, the Collective is defined as "all OptIn Plaintiffs who are or were employed by OCC at any time from and including January 18, 2014
through December 21, 2018."

9. The Court hereby confirms the appointment of CPT Group, Inc. as Settlement
Administrator, and approves its reasonable administration costs of \$40,000, which are to be paid from
the total Settlement.

28

10. The Court hereby FINALLY APPOINTS Plaintiffs Soto, Stricklen, and Farias as

3 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Soto, et al. v. O.C. Communications, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-00251-VC

Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3 Filed 09/12/19 Page 6 of 9

Class Representatives for the California Class, Plaintiff Ortega as Class Representative for the
 Washington Class, and all Plaintiffs as Collective Representatives.

3 11. The Court hereby FINALLY APPOINTS Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky
4 Wotkyns LLP and Berger Montague PC as Counsel for the Settlement Classes and Collective.

5 12. The Court finds that the approved Notice of Settlement (submitted to the Court at ECF 6 296-2) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and is in full compliance with 7 the applicable laws and the requirements of due process. The Court further finds that the Notice of 8 Settlement fully and accurately informed the California and Washington Class Members of all 9 material elements of the proposed Settlement, of their right to be excluded from the Settlement, and 10 of their right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. A full opportunity has been afforded to the 11 Class Members to participate in this hearing and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be 12 heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all California and Washington Class Members, since none timely and properly executed a request for exclusion, are bound by this Order 13 and the Judgment. 14

15 13. The Court further finds that the Notice of Settlement fully and accurately informed the
16 Collective Members of all material elements of the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court determines
17 that all Collective Members who submitted timely opt-ins are bound by this Order and the Judgment.

18 14. The Court FINALLY APPROVES Class and Collective Counsel's request for 19 attorneys' fees of one-third of the original \$7,500,000 Gross Settlement Amount, for a total of 20 \$2,500,000 in fees. This amount is justified under the common fund doctrine, the range of awards 21 ordered in this District and Circuit, the excellent results obtained, the substantial risk borne by Class 22 Counsel in litigating this matter, the high degree of skill and quality of work performed, the financial 23 burden imposed by the contingency basis of Class Counsel' representation of Plaintiffs and the 24 Classes and Collective, and the additional work required of Class Counsel to bring this Settlement to 25 conclusion. The Court finds the fee award is further supported by a lodestar crosscheck, whereby it 26 finds that the hourly rates of Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky Wotkyns LLP and Berger 27 Montague PC are reasonable, and that the estimated hours expended are reasonable. In fact, Class 28 Counsel's total lodestar amount is less than the requested fee. Thus, the Court applies a negative

> [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Soto, et al. v. O.C. Communications, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-00251-VC

1 multiplier to Class Counsel's lodestar in issuing this fee award.

2 15. The Court FINALLY APPROVES Class and Collective Counsel's request for
3 litigation costs in the amount of \$207,361.46.

- 4 16. The Court FINALLY APPROVES service awards of \$15,000.00 for Plaintiff Soto and
 5 \$10,000.00 each for Plaintiffs Stricklen, Fondrose, Ortega, and Farias, and finds that these awards are
 6 fair and reasonable for the work these individuals provided to the Classes and Collective and the
 7 broader release they executed than the Class and Collective Members.
- 8

9

17. Accordingly, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court hereby APPROVES following implementation schedule:

10	Effective Date	(i) if there is an objection to the Settlement
11		that is not subsequently withdrawn, then the date upon the expiration of time for appeal
12		of the Court's Final Approval Order; or (ii)
13		if there is a timely objection and appeal by an objector, then after such appeal is
14		dismissed or the Court's Final Approval Order is affirmed on appeal; or (iii) if there
15		are no timely objections to the Settlement,
16		or if any objections which were filed are withdrawn before the date of final approval,
17		then the first business day after the Court's order granting Final Approval of the Settlement
18	Deadline for OCC to pay the Gross Settlement	Within 10 business days after Effective
10	Amount into the Qualified Settlement Fund	Date
19	Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to provide Class	At least 10 business days before the
20	Counsel and Defendants' Counsel with a final	Settlement Awards are mailed to Class Members
21	report of all Settlement Awards	Members
21	Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to transfer the 10	As soon as practicable after funding of the
22	percent holdback of the attorneys' fees award	Gross Settlement Amount, and prior to any
23	into a separate interest-bearing account	payment of the attorneys' fees award to Class Counsel
24	Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to make payments	Within 30 days after the Effective Date or
25	for attorneys' fees and costs, service awards, Class Member Settlement Awards, and LWDA	as soon as reasonably practicable
23	Payment	
26	Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to send a reminder	90 days before check-cashing deadline
27	letter to those Class Members who have not yet	
	cashed their Class Member Settlement Award	
28	checks Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to place a	60 days before check-cashing deadline
		to days before check-cashing deadline
	5 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINA	Ι ΑΦΟΡΟΥΛΙ ΟΕ SETΤΙ ΕΜΕΝΤ
	Soto, et al. v. O.C. Communications, Inc., o	

Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC	Document 299-3	Filed 09/12/19	Page 8 of 9

reminder phone call to those Class Members	
who have not yet cashed their Class Member Settlement Award checks	
Check-cashing deadline	180 days after issuance
Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to either distribute	As soon as practicable after check-cashing
uncashed check funds to cy pres recipient or	deadline
redistribute such funds to those Class Members	
who cashed their cashed their Class Member	
Settlement Award checks	
Deadline for Plaintiffs to file the Post-	Within 21 days after the distribution of any
Distribution Accounting.	remaining monies to Settlement Class
	Members who cashed their Settlement
	Award check or to the cy pres recipient
Deadline for CPT Group, Inc. to release the 10	As soon as practicable following
percent holdback of the attorneys' fees award to	completion of the distribution process and
Class Counsel	filing of the Post-Distribution Accounting
	with the Court

18. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this Action, except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement, are stayed.

13

12

14

19. With this final approval of the Settlement, it is hereby ordered that all claims that are released as set forth in the Settlement are hereby barred.

15 20. The Court permanently enjoins all of the California and Washington Class Members
16 who did not timely exclude themselves (opt-out) from the Settlement, Collective Members, and the
17 LWDA from pursuing, or seeking to reopen, any Released Claims (as defined in the Addendum to
18 Class Action Settlement Agreement at Paragraph B.17 and the Notice of Settlement at Section 5)
19 against any of the "Releasees" (as defined in the Settlement at Paragraph 2.bb).

20 21. The Court dismisses this Action with prejudice and will enter Judgment consistent
21 with the Settlement and this Order to so dismiss the Action and permanently enjoin and bar all
22 California and Washington Class Members who did not opt-out of the Settlement, all Collective
23 Members, and the LWDA from prosecuting against any Released Claims (as defined in the
24 Addendum to Class Action Settlement Agreement at Paragraph B.17 and the Notice of Settlement at
25 Section 5) against any of the "Releasees" (as defined in the Settlement at Paragraph 2.bb).

26 27

28

22. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Soto, et al. v. O.C. Communications, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-00251-VC

	Case 3:17-cv-00251-VC Document 299-3 Filed 09/12/19 Page 9 of 9
1	IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	
3	Dated: HON. VINCE CHHABRIA
4	United States District Judge,
5	Northern District of California
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
17	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Soto, et al. v. O.C. Communications, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:17-cv-00251-VC